

**WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**

**Bikash Bhavan, Salt Lake, Kolkata – 700 091.**

**Present-**

**The Hon'ble SAYEED AHMED BABA, Member (A)**

**Case No. – OA-890 of 2021**

**Kanai Lal Maity VERSUS – The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Serial No. and  
Date of order For the Applicant : Mr. S.N. Roy,  
Learned Advocate.

05  
12.09.2022 For the State Respondents : Mr. A. De,  
Mr. S. Deb Roy,  
Ms. R. Sarkar,  
Departmental Representatives.

For the Pr. A.G.W.B. Mr. B. Mitra.

In this application, the prayer is for direction to the respondent authority to sanction the pension after adding the past service as a casual worker to qualify for pension.

Mr. De, representing the department points out to the reply submitted by the respondent in which it appears that the applicant had only 01 years 02 months and 09 days qualifying service, thus, not eligible for pension. It is also pointed out that the representation of the applicant was also considered by the ADM & DL & LRO, Paschim Midnapore on 14.01.2021. In his order, the ADM & DL & LRO records that the applicant has served only 01 year 02 months and 09 days service since his appointment as a Group-D on regular basis was from 21.04.2011 and his services as Tehsil Mohuri was on casual basis, therefore, the past service cannot be counted. It also appears that the applicant has not challenged the order of ADM & DL & LRO, nor appealed against the order before the Appellate Authority.

Mr. Roy prays for adjournment so that by the next date

he will submit a rejoinder to the reply filed by the respondent.

The matter is admitted.

Let the matter appear on **20.12.2022** under the heading  
“Hearing”. Rejoinder, if any, in the meantime.

SAYEED AHMED BABA  
MEMBER (A)

sc